Case3:11-mj-70150-MEJ Document8 Filed03/01/11 Page1 of 3

FILED MAR O J 2011 1 MELINDA HAAG (CSBN 132612) United States Attorney 2 MIRANDA KANE (CSBN 150630) 3 Acting Chief, Criminal Division BARBARA BRENNAN SILANO (MASSBN 55540) Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 6 Telephone: (415) 436-7223 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 7 E-mail: barbara.silano@usdoj.gov 11.CR.57 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 13 No. CR 3-11-70150 MEJ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 14 Plaintiff, 15 PROPOSED LORDER REGARDING PRE-TRIAL DETENTION 16 NOE CONTRERAS, 17 a/k/a/ "Primillo" 18 Defendant. 19 On February 23, 2011 a detention hearing was held before this Court pursuant to 18 20 U.S.C. §3142. The Court has considered the motion for pre-trial detention filed by the United 21 States, the Pre-Trial Services Report, and the arguments and proffers of both sides. For the 22 reasons outlined herein, the Court ORDERS the defendant detained. 23 The defendant appeared before the Court on an indictment alleging in Count One a 24 conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and distribute a controlled substance, to wit: 500 25 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine 26 and 50 grams or more of methamphetamine and alleging in Count Four a conspiracy to possess 27 with intent to distribute and distribute 100 kilograms of more marijuana. Because both counts 28

3-7-11 Silve

Case 2:11-cr-00057-LDG-CWH Document 44 Filed 03/01/11 Page 2 of 3

Case3:11-mi-70150-MEJ Document8 Filed03/01/11 Page2 of 3

are offenses for which the maximum term of imprisonment is ten years or more, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(3), they trigger a rebuttable presumption that there are no conditions or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. The Court notes that a grand jury has found probable cause to believe the defendant committed the offenses outlined in the indictment. According to the proffer provided by the government, the defendant is alleged to have provided hundreds of pounds of quality marijuana and methamphetamine to co-defendants in Nevada in at least two shipments. According to government estimates, the marijuana seized during the course of the investigation had a value of over one million dollars and the methamphetamine was valued at approximately one-half a million dollars. The excerpts of wiretapped conversations proffered by the government reveal the defendant's substantial participation. Moreover, according to information supplied by the government through proffer, at the time of his arrest, the defendant admitted his involvement with the drug trafficking organization. The Court also notes that the government has proffered that when a co-defendant was arrested, agents recovered a text message in his telephone from the defendant on January 29, 2011. In the text message, the defendant ordered 3 Glock handguns and one Mac 11 weapon and said that the money was ready.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

The Court is aware that the defendant has not demonstrated a history of evading court responsibilities and has no criminal record. Nevertheless, he was born in Mexico, and has ties in Mexico. One defendant in this case was already released and has fled to Mexico. The defendant, if convicted, will likely be deported. He has no financial resources and has been unemployed for the last year. He has a partial ownership interest in a home that is worth much less than what he paid. The Pre-Trial Services report indicates that no payments have been made on the mortgage since 2009. While members of the defendant's family did appear in Court, no proposed surety offered any assets. The defendant has never married and has no children to tie him to this community.

27 28 The Court finds that nothing provided by the defendant has rebutted the presumption that he is both a flight risk and a danger. The proffer by the government is substantial and there is

Case 2:11-cr-00057-LDG-CWH Document 44 Filed 03/01/11 Page 3 of 3

Case3:11-mj-70150-MEJ Document8 Filed03/01/11 Page3 of 3

evidence that the defendant sought, but did not obtain, firearms. The controlled substances and the value of them injected into the community is also a danger and the court finds there is clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a danger to the community if released. The Court also finds by a preponderance of evidence that the defendant poses a risk of flight if released. The Court incorporates into its reasons and findings a copy of the pre-trial services report. The Court finds that defendant's opportunity to meet with his counsel was reasonable. In the event of an appeal of this Order, the Pre-Trial Services report shall be sealed and made part of this record. For the reasons set forth herein, the defendant is prepared detained. Dated: March 1, 2011 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE